GAU: 1644 Page 7 of 8

STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-13 are pending, claims 10-13 have been withdrawn as being non-elected

inventions. Claim 1 is the only independent claim. Claims 1-4 are rejected.

**REMARKS** 

Claims 1-9 are under consideration; Claims 1-4 are rejected and Claims 5-9 are objected.

Applicant has amended Claim 1, canceled Claim 8 and has added new Claims 14 -17. No new

matter has been added by these amendments. Support for such amendments can be found

throughout the specification and especially in the original claims.

Rejection of Claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claims 1-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Hunig

(WO 98/54225) as evidenced by Hunig (US Patent No. 6,987,171) (collectively referred to

herein as "Hunig" reference). Applicant respectfully disagrees.

In order to further prosecution of the application on the merits, Applicant has amended

Claim 1 to include the diameter of the support structure in order to more clarify the support

structure used in this invention. Therefore, based upon this amendment set forth above,

Applicant has overcome this rejection and respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn

and placed in condition for allowance.

Objection of claims 5-9

Claims 5-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claims, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

7

U.S. Serial No.: 10/520,474

Examiner: Ilia Ouspenski, Ph.D.

GAU: 1644 Page 8 of 8

As above, Applicant has amended Claim 1 to more clarify the support structure used in

the invention, resulting in Claims 2 through 7 and 9 depending from this newly amended Claim

1. Furthermore, and as suggested by the Office, Applicant has added new Claims 14 through 17

in independent form, which includes the limitations of the original base Claim 1.

Therefore, based upon this amendment, Applicant has over this objection and respectfully

request that this objection be withdrawn and placed in condition for allowance.

**CONCLUSION** 

In light of the foregoing Amendments and remarks, it is believed that the rejections of

record have been obviated, and allowance of this application is respectfully solicited. If a

telephone conference would facilitate examination of this application in any way, the examiner is

invited to contact the applicant's attorney at (619) 846-4850. The Examiner's consideration of

this matter is gratefully acknowledged.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 7, 2009

Attorney for Applicant Mayer & Williams PC

251 North Avenue West, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

Westfield, NJ 07090 Tel.: 619-846-4850 Fax: (908) 518-7795 /Ann A. Wieczorek/

Ann A. Wieczorek, Esq.

Registration No. 46,087

Certificate of Electronic Transmission Under 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence and any document referenced herein are being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on December

7, 2009.

Michelle Wolf

(Printed Name of Person Sending Correspondence)

/Michelle Wolf/

(Signature)

8